

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,714	09/26/2003	Thomas P. Castellano	P 304309 304309	1147
7:	590 09/19/2005	•	EXAMINER	
Pillsbury Win	Pillsbury Winthrop LLP WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SE		HERINE SERKE	
Intellectual Pro				
725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles CA 90017-5406			3763	

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Total	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/672,714	CASTELLANO, THOMA	S P.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Catherine S. Williams	3763		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	eptember 2003.			
	,	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar			ts is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constraint may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,7-9,11,13-14,16 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trautman et al (USPubN 2002/0091357). Trautman discloses an injection apparatus with a housing and a group of about 30-40 lancets and an injection spring to drive the lancets out of the housing upon actuation. The device also includes a push button trigger, a cap, a lancet base, at least two shear pins and four guides that are configured at quarter turns around the base of the housing. The outside of the housing is considered a finger rest. See figures 2,4-7 and paragraphs 0035,0037,0053 and 0056.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 23-24, 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trautman in view of Kramer et al (USPN 5,451,210). Trautman meets the claim limitations

Page 3

as described above but fails to include the safety spring. However, Kramer provides a safety spring. See figure 6.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious by one skilled in the art to incorporate the safety spring of Kramer into the invention of Trautman. The problem of inadvertant needle sticks is well known in the art and the use of springs at the injection end of device is a well know mechanism for providing retraction of the needle after use to prevent a needle stick. The motivation for incorporating the spring as taught by Kramer into the invention of Trautman would have been in order to enhance the safety of the device to the medical technician.

Claims 15 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trautman alone or in view of Kramer and in further view of Chiappetta (USPN 5,989,229). Trautman alone or Trautman in view of Kramer meet the claim limitations as described above but fail to teach a soft matrix in the cap. However, Chiappetta discloses such a matrix for enhancing the sterility of the needle prior to use. See figures 3-4.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious by one skilled in the art to incorporate the soft matrix of Chiappetta into the invention of Trautman alone or Trautman in view of Kramer to enhance the sterility of the device prior to use.

Claims 10 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trautman alone or in view of Kramer. Trautman alone or Trautman in view of Kramer meet the claim limitations as described above but fail to teach specifically 36 lancets or one of the claimed Application/Control Number: 10/672,714 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

drugs. However, at the time of the invention it would have been an obvious design choice by one skilled in the art to use 36 lancets or the claimed drugs. Applicant has not disclosed that 36 lancets over another number of lancets or the claimed drug over other drug provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. Additionally, one would expect the claimed particulars and the prior art device to perform equally well in administering an agent into or through the epidermis.

Claims 12 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trautman alone or in view of Kramer in further view of Herrick (USPN 102262). Trautman alone or Trautman in view of Kramer meet the claim limitations as described above but fail to teach a pair of finger rests. However, Herrick teaches such a finger rest. See figures 1-2.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the pair of finger rests as taught by Herrick into the invention of Trautman alone or Trautman in view of Kramer. Finger rests are well known in the syringe, lancet, needle art and are commonly used to provide the device with an enhanced grip for actuation of the device.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,714 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams

Cuthin S. William

September 15, 2005